

City of St. Marys Master Plan Steering Committee Meeting Minutes January 4, 2017

MPSC Attendees:

Tanya Glazebrook Joseph Holler Fred Mercier Michael Rich Joseph Antao Elaine Powierski Eric Landon (Proxy for Steve Howard) Jon Preble

1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 6:03 pm, a quorum was present.

2. Approval of minutes for meeting of June 2, 2016

A motion to approve the minutes from the December 1st meeting was made, seconded, and unanimously approved.

3. General remarks and announcements

Mike Rich made the following comments and announcements:

- Mike discussed the email sent to the MPSC members prior to the meeting that contained the various vision statements that had been submitted by committee members and members of the public. He asked that any new vision statement anyone wanted to present should be circulated among the committee members by email within seven days. He also asked that members of the committee let him know their preference for a vision statement from that list by January 15th. He noted that even though he had included slogans in the email, the committee should probably not consider a slogan since there is no requirement that a slogan be recommended in the master plan. Courtney noted that the Statement of Values which was included in one of the Visions would be included in the plan regardless of which of the final Vision statements the MPSC chose.
- Mike requested that the date of the next MPSC be moved because he would not be available. The date of the next MPSC meeting was moved to February 9, 2016 at 6 PM at the Senior Center.
- Courtney requested that the MPSC provide any photos they have of St Marys that they would like to be included in the plan. The photos should demonstrate the character of St. Marys, and illustrate elements that they like about the City and community.

4. Report on new zoning ordinance

Connie Cooper with Cooper Consulting gave a presentation on her Zoning Diagnostic Report and her recommended changes to the City's Zoning Ordinance. The powerpoint outline that she used in her presentation is attached to and made a part of the Minutes. Comments that were made during the presentation are summarized below:

- Although there will not be a specific mobile home district or RV Park district, modular and manufactured homes will be allowed in some of the zoning districts as appropriate.
- Existing PD's will not be changed by the new Zoning Ordinance; however, the standards for new PDs may change.
- Fast food franchises can be located in the downtown area and the city can restrict or
 prohibit drive-throughs and walk ups as well as proscribe design standards that limit or
 prohibit "corporate/logo architecture."
- Even though there is no farmland as such in the city, the AF district will remain in the ordinance for the purposes of annexation. However, the allowable uses may change.
- Special Permit Uses currently expire with a transfer of land. The new ordinance will consider having special permit uses run with the land.
- Accessory uses include garage apartments, detached or connected buildings.
- Public input indicated that people wanted more dining and shopping options downtown. In order to support that, the City needs increased residential density downtown. The new ordinance will support this through reduced residential lot sizes.
- The current project does not include a revision of the sign ordinance. The City is currently working on updates to the sign ordinance.
- The new zoning ordinance will grandfather existing uses. New development and redevelopment will be required to meet the new standards.
- The new ordinance will contain design standards for mid-town and commercial and a form based provision for downtown.
- If the MPSC can provide comments to Connie in 2 weeks (by 1/18) Connie will provide a draft ordinance in six weeks. The current Zoning Map is on the City's website in case anyone needs to reference it as they are reviewing the ordinance.

5. Public Comment

The MPSC heard public comment, as follows:

- Mel Schoychid: Cooperstown was able to prohibit fast food/corporate architecture and St. Marys should do the same. The MPSC should actively coordinate with the Development Authority, and should support growth downtown by the Waterfront to include boats, sales, more river traffic, residential lots. The MPSC must also take into consideration hazardous materials and sea level rise.
- Cheri Richter: The Maritime Heritage District Overlay was important to the City because it allowed the city to regain a little control over an area that was also under the purview of the Navy and NPS. The City uniquely owns to the center of the river. The MPSC must make sure that important points in this overlay are not lost.
- Charles Davis: The MPSC must consider brownfield issues at the Mill site and make sure we are protecting people downstream. Also, the MPSC must consider traffic impacts of proposed changes in downtown so that traffic is not directed away from

Osborn where the businesses are. Traffic calming measures like stop signs on Dilworth and signage on Osborn could accomplish this. Light industrial has noise restrictions. Those noise limits should be read at a certain distance away from the building, not directly at the building.

6. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 8:00 pm

















































































